

































































Peggy reminded us Shaun, author of the TBA letter, comes onto the GVRB this year, as a primary representative. Area of discussion is Part I #6 of the standards. No VRB Committee meeting scheduled for 2020 AOSCA Committee Meetings.

Auman – This statement is needed to give the GVRB a reference backbone with decisions to reject applications based on stability. Review board members need black and white references to evaluate applications decisions. In the past when GVRB has taken no action on varieties that may still be segregating Breeders have pushed back saying there was nothing in the application that indicated that requirement.

Terry Hollifield: Trouble with the current wording (not more than 5% off-types removed), felt the system should be: application, review, determination of stability and uniqueness, with a decision to get end products

Doug Boze – not a new topic, 15 year history of this problem and not just grasses.

**RECAP:** AOSCA or GVRB has no standards authority for Breeder seed declaration, it can't be governed or dictated to its condition but can be a deciding factor in acceptance as a variety. While approved last year, this rule change goes into effect 2023. Michael Bouck offered to relay concerns to Shaun in person. No action at this time until Chet has opportunity to review the discussion and weigh in. There was unanimous agreement that AOSCA should respond to the letter from TBA. No motion needed as no change was initiated.

6) Other Business

Auman outlined the GVRB meeting in San Antonio on 3-10-20. 28 applications submitted with 25 moving forward and 3 no actions. 111 name change revisions.

7) Adjourn Motion made, seconded, and passed to adjourn the meeting at 11:02 CST.

Minutes reviewed by Chair Rachel Hankins and submitted by Vice Chair Doug Holen.